UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,375	11/27/2007	Simon Adriaan Troost	3135-061626	3718
	7590 03/17/201 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS BUILDING			WILLIAMS, STEPHANIE ELAINE	
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@webblaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/581,375	TROOST ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	STEPHANIE E. WILLIAMS	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 M</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ∠ Claim(s) 25-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35 and 43-48 is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∠ Claim(s) 25-34,36-42 and 49 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ∠ Claim(s) 25-49 are subject to restriction and/or 	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	»□	(PTO 440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

 $Continuation \ of \ Attachment(s)\ 3).\ Information \ Disclosure \ Statement(s)\ (PTO/SB/08),\ Paper\ No(s)/Mail\ Date : 10/22/07; 11/8/07; 8/13/08; 2/3/11.$

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-44,48,49, drawn to apparatus.

Group II, claim(s) 45-47, drawn to method of manufacturing.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species: I. Figures 1-4

II. Figure 5

III. Figures 6,7

IV. Figures 8a-8c,9a-9c

V. Figures 10a,10b

VI. Figures 14,15

Once a species is selected, then one of the following sub-species below must be

selected: A. Figures 11-11D,12

B. Figures 13a,13b

Application/Control Number: 10/581,375

Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. John W. McIlvaine (Reg.No.34,219) on February 28, 2011 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I, sub-species A (fig.11), group I, claims 25-34,36-42,49.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 35,43-48 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means", "comprises", and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The

Art Unit: 3754

disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 8 of the abstract, after, "Such a" there is a period (.) that should not be there. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 25 -32,34,36-41,49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshizane et al. (GB 2079183A).
- 9. The Yoshizane et al. reference discloses a dispensing device (figs. 18,19) consisting of a container (1) for the fluid with a valve (pg.2, col.1, lines 6,7) which is placed on the container and has an outlet opening (opening of 2), and a flexible tube (3) connected to the outlet opening for dispensing the fluid, wherein in a first state (see fig.19) at least a distal tube part (dispensing end of 3) is encased by enclosing means $(5,5_2,5_1)$ for enclosing the distal tube part, and in a second state (see fig.18) the distal tube part is movable at least partly outside the enclosing means; and wherein the enclosing means $(5,5_2,5_1,5_b)$ comprise a chamber (inside of 5_b) which is arranged on the dispensing device and which is inaccessible in the first state; and wherein the

dispensing device is filled with a fluid (pg.1, col.1, line 16) suitable for human consumption (in order to intentionally harm someone); and wherein in the normal state the tube (3) comprises a bent tube part (4), that the bent tube part separates the distal tube part (dispensing end of 3) from the tube part (3) connected to the valve, and that the distal tube part can be moved outside the enclosing means $(5,5_2,5_1,5_b)$ by changing the curvature of the bent tube part (4); wherein the bent tube part (4) is adapted to urge the distal tube part outside the enclosing means by means of resilient force; and wherein the dispensing device consists of a cap (5_b) which is provided with a cavity (inside area of 5_b) for receiving the distal tube part in the first state; and wherein the valve (pg.2, col.1, lines 6,7) is a valve which can be operated by an operating element (2) and that the operating element (2) is only accessible from the outside in the second state.

- 10. Claims 25 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tugwood (4,865,230).
- 11. The Tugwood reference discloses a dispensing device (figs.1) consisting of a container (1) for the fluid with a valve (29) which is placed on the container and has an outlet opening (opening of 77), and a flexible tube (2) connected to the outlet opening for dispensing the fluid, wherein in a first state at least a distal tube part (82) is encased by enclosing means (100,102) for enclosing the distal tube part, and in a second state the distal tube part (82) is movable at least partly outside the enclosing means; and

Art Unit: 3754

wherein the dispensing device is a bottle (1) and the valve (29) consists of a pump mechanism (col.2, line 58) which can be operated by the operating element (3).

- 12. Claims 25 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beard (4,513,889).
- 13. The Beard reference discloses a dispensing device (figs.1) consisting of a container (20) for the fluid with a valve (21) which is placed on the container and has an outlet opening (through 49"), and a flexible tube (44") connected to the outlet opening for dispensing the fluid, wherein in a first state at least a distal tube part (end of 44") is encased by enclosing means (87,82,83,76) for enclosing the distal tube part, and in a second state the distal tube part (end of 44") is movable at least partly outside the enclosing means; and wherein the enclosing means (87,82,83,76) form part of the cap (76) and can be broken off the cap (by 83).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshizane et al., Tugwood, and Beard.

Art Unit: 3754

Having the enclosing means consisting of a sticker is a design choice base upon the needs of the in consumer and/or manufacturer. Whether the enclosing means consist of a tamper-evident component or a sticker, it does not affect the utility of the invention. Thus, having the enclosing means be a sticker fails to be patentably define over the prior art.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Leonard et al. (2,982,448) and Haber et al. (4,096,974) are other various types of dispensing devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHANIE E. WILLIAMS whose telephone number is (571)272-8059. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. E. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/Kevin P. Shaver/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754